

All articles submitted for publication in the Journal "**Applied Solid State Chemistry**" are reviewed for originality, ethics, and relevance. Adherence to the standards of ethical behavior is important for all parties involved in the publication: authors, editors of the journal, reviewers, and the publisher.

The editorial policy of the journal is based on the traditional ethical principles of Russian scientific periodicals, supports the Code of Ethics for Scientific Publications, formulated by the Ethics Committee of Scientific Publications (Russia, Moscow), and is built upon the ethical standards of editors and publishers set forth in the Code of Conduct and the Best Practice Guidelines for the Journal Editors and the Code of Conduct for Journal Publishers, developed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), as well as guided by the [\*\*Declaration of the Association of Scientific Editors and Publishers "Ethical Principles of Scientific Publications"\*\*](#).

## Duties of the editorial board:

### 1. Decision to publish

1.1. Editorial Board of the peer-reviewed journal "**Applied Solid State Chemistry**" is responsible for deciding, which articles are to be published in the journal. The decision is made on the basis of the reviews submitted to the article.

1.2. The editorial board adheres to the policy of the Journal and acts within the framework of legal requirements, as it is responsible for copyright infringement. The editor can consult other editors before making a decision.

### 2. Privacy Policy

2.1. Reviewers or any members of the editorial staff should not disclose any information about the submitted manuscript to anyone other than the author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as such information is considered confidential.

### 3. Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

3.1. Unpublished materials contained in the submitted article should not be used in separate personal research projects of the editor and reviewers without the special written permission of the author.

## Responsibilities of reviewers:

Reviewers provide assistance to the scientific editor in making editorial decisions, and also help the author improve his or her work.

### 1. Privacy Policy

1.1. Any manuscripts received for review should be treated as confidential documents. Such manuscripts can be neither shown nor discussed with other individuals, excluding those authorized by the editorial board.

### 2. Validation of sources

2.1. Reviewers should identify published work that has not been quoted by the author. Any statement that an observation, fact, explanation, or argument has been previously published should be accompanied by a corresponding reference. The reviewer should also inform the editorial board of any significant similarity or partial overlap between the reviewed manuscript and another already published work, with which he or she is familiar.

### 3. Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

3.1. Private information or ideas arising during the review process should remain confidential and can not be used for personal interest. The reviewer should not review the manuscript if there is a conflict of interest caused by competitive, partner, or any other type of relationships or links with any of the authors, companies, or organizations associated with the publication.

## Duties of the authors:

### 1. Originality and Plagiarism

1.1. The authors must ensure that the provided work is completely original. If the authors quote the work and/or words of other authors, this should be indicated accordingly (references to the relevant work cited).

### 2. Access to data and security

2.1. The author should be ready to provide access to the primary data associated with the publication material, if possible. In any case, the author should be prepared to hold such data for a reasonable period of time after publication.

### 3. Reused, redundant or competing publication

3.1. The author should not publish work that essentially describes the same research more than once or more than in one journal.

3.2. Submission of the manuscript to more than one journal simultaneously implies unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

### 4. Validation of sources

4.1. It is necessary to provide reliable confirmation of the work of other authors. Authors should quote publications that affected the creation of the provided work.

### 5. Authorship of the material

5.1. Authorship should be limited to those persons who have made a tangible contribution to the concept, project, execution or interpretation of the claimed work. All the individuals, who made a significant contribution, should be added to the list of co-authors.

5.2. The author must ensure that the list of authors contains only valid authors and does not include those who are not related to the provided work, and that all co-authors have read and approved the final version of the article and agreed to publish it.

### 6. Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

6.1. All authors must inform the editorial board of any a financial or other conflict of interest in their work that may affect the results or interpretation of their manuscript.

### 7. Errors in published works

7.1. If the author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his or her published work, it is his or her duty to immediately notify the editorial board or the publisher about such an error or inaccuracy and cooperate with the editorial staff to make necessary corrections.

## Settlement of disputes related to plagiarism

Editorial Board of "**Applied Solid State Chemistry**" adheres to the standards of academic ethics, protects the authors' reputation and takes all instances of plagiarism very seriously. Should such situations arise, the editorial board shall apply the system of procedures to respond to possible accusations of plagiarism.

In order to ensure objectivity, the editorial board shall carefully examine each case and consider the arguments of all parties concerned. Before taking any further action, the editorial board shall seek to obtain the most accurate information from the authors of the contentious publication or from the copyright owners. The editorial board's decision is impartial, objective and not subject to the influence of third parties.

The editorial board reserve the right not to respond to accusations of plagiarism, if the accusing party provides false personal information or acts in an unethical or threatening manner. The editorial board is not obliged to discuss cases of alleged plagiarism with individuals who do not have a direct relationship to the said case.